Expressions of Tight Junction Protein Claudin-1 and Claudin-7 in Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Uterine Cervix
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Objective: Claudins have been shown to be up-regulated in various cancers and have been suggested as possible biomarkers and targets for cancer therapy. The aims of this study were to evaluate the expression patterns of claudin-1 and claudin-7 in squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix and investigate the different expressions according to presence of lymph node metastasis in theses carcinoma.

Methods: Tumor specimens were obtained from each 20 patients with invasive squamous cell carcinoma (ISCC) with and without lymph node (LN) metastasis. Normal cervical tissues as control were obtained from 10 patients with benign uterine disease. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed with antibodies to claudin-1 and claudin-7.

Results: The expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were undetectable in normal exocervical mucosa, but had variable staining in endocervical mucosa. The expressions of both claudin-1 and claudin-7, exhibiting mainly membranous staining, were significantly up-regulated in all of the ISCC in comparison to the normal control in similar manner (P<0.001 and P<0.001, respectively). The expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were not significantly different between ISCC with and ISCC without LN metastasis (P=0.54 and P=0.53, respectively). However there was increasing tendency of expressions in ISCC with LN metastasis in comparison to ISCC without LN metastasis.

Conclusion: These results suggest that claudin-1 and claudin-7 may play a significant role in carcinogenesis of squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix and may represent novel markers for this disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the second most common cancer in women worldwide, with approximately 400,000 new cases being diagnoses each year despite existence of screening methods.1 Metastasis is the primary cause of fatality in cervical cancer patients. Although it is believed there are a number of events contributing to the process of metastasis, it is widely accepted that the loss of cell-to-cell adhesion in neoplastic epithelium is necessary for invasion of surrounding stromal elements and subsequent metastatic events.2
Cell-to-cell adhesion in epithelial cell sheets is maintained mainly through two types of junctions: adherens junctions and tight junctions (TJs). TJs are intercellular junctions adjacent to the apical end of the lateral membrane surface. They have two functions, the barrier function and the fence function. The barrier function of TJs regulates the passage of ions, water, and various macromolecules, even cancer cells, though paracellular spaces. The barrier function is thus relevant to edema, jaundice, diarrhea, and blood-borne metastasis. On the other hand, the fence function maintains cell polarity. In other words, TJs work as a fence to prevent intermixing of molecules in the apical membranes with those in the lateral membrane. This function is deeply involved in cancer cell biology, in terms of loss of cell polarity.

From extensive investigations into the molecular components of the TJ structure, it has become apparent that the junctions are composed of three regions: (i) the integral membrane proteins occludin, claudins, junctional adhesion molecules; (ii) the peripheral proteins zona occluden-1, -2, -3; and (iii) proteins associated with TJs. Claudins contain four transmembrane domains and two extracellular loops through which they bind to claudins on adjacent cells. Normal cells typically express multiple claudin proteins, but some family members exhibit a tissue specific distribution. For example, claudin-5 is the main form in endothelial cells, suggesting that they may contribute to functional differences, whereas the type of claudin may be a determining factor in the tightness of the TJs. Currently, at least there are 20 known members of the claudin family.

As TJs exist between the stromal, the endothelium and the tumor cells, TJs are the first structure blocking the way for cancer cells to metastasis. For the cancer cell to spread, the TJ structure must be disturbed and broken to allow penetration of the cancer cells. Although changes in the permeability of TJs have been observed in several types of cancer, little is known about the role of claudins in cervical cancer.

In this study we used an immunohistochemical assay to evaluate the expression patterns of claudin-1 and claudin-7 in cervical squamous cell carcinoma and to investigate whether differences in expression of these molecules exist during lymph node (LN) metastasis.

**METHODS**

1. Tissue specimens

This study was carried out using stored paraffin-embedded tissue blocks from patients who were treated by radical hysterectomy and pelvic paraaortic lymphadenectomy in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine between 1995 and 2002. One designated gynecological pathologist reviewed all cases in regard to histological type, histological grading, and LN status. Paraffin-embedded tissues were divided into two groups: primary cervical cancer tissues with or without LN metastasis. Tissue blocks from 20 patients in each group were available for immunohistochemistry of claudin-1 and claudin-7. As normal control, we obtained 10 normal cervical tissues from paraffin-embedded tissue blocks from patients who had simple hysterectomy for myoma uteri.

2. Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining was performed under standard avidin-biotin complex peroxidase method by use of DAKO Tech Mate100. Used antibodies are claudin-1 (dilution: 1:50, Zymed Laboratories Inc., San Francisco, CA) and claudin-7 (dilution: 1:50, Zymed Laboratories Inc., San Francisco, CA) and heat-induced antigen retrieval was applied for a pretreatment.

3. Interpretation of stains

A specialized pathologist who was blind to clinical features of the patients, reviewed slides and evaluated immunohistochemical data. The intensity of staining was scored on a scale from 0 to 3+: 0, no staining; 1+, less than 50%; 2+, 50-90%; 3+, more than 90%. The distribution of cell staining was also assessed. The percentage of cells expressing claudin-1 and claudin-7 was estimated by dividing the number of positively
stained tumor cells by the total number of tumor cells per high-power field.

4. Statistical analysis

Statistical calculations were carried out using SPSS for Windows version 11.0. Student t-test and Fisher’s exact test were used for correlation analysis and the least significant difference test using ranks was applied for multiple comparison among 3 groups. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Clinicopathological features of the patients are described in Table 1. The expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were undetectable in normal exocervical mucosa (Fig. 1A and 1D, respectively), but had variable staining in endocervical mucosa (Fig. 1B and 1E, respectively). Both claudin-1 and claudin-7 were strongly expressed in all cases of invasive squamous cell carcinomas (ISCC) and most of tumor cells showed intense membranous staining pattern (Fig. 1C and 1F). The expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were significantly different between normal control and all ISCC groups (P<0.001 and P<0.001, respectively). However the expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were not significantly different between two tumor groups according to LN metastasis (P=0.54 and P=0.53, respectively). However there was an increasing tendency of claudin-1 and claudin-7 expressions for ISCC with LN metastasis compared to ISCC without LN metastasis (Table 2 and Table 3, respectively).

Table 1. Clinicopathological features of cervical squamous cell carcinoma with or without lymph node (LN) metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LN negative (N=20)</th>
<th>LN positive (N=20)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years, mean SD)</td>
<td>50.1 ± 11.68</td>
<td>46.9 ± 9.94</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm, mean ±SD)</td>
<td>3.69 ± 1.36</td>
<td>4.23 ± 1.75</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parametral invasion (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full thickness invasion (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TA-4 (ng/ml, mean ±SD)</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.58</td>
<td>5.31 ± 5.77</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The expression of claudin-1 in normal cervical squamous epithelium, invasive squamous cell carcinoma (ISCC) without lymph node (LN) metastasis, and with LN metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histologic grade</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1+</th>
<th>2+</th>
<th>3+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>10/10 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISCC, LN(-)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/20 (15%)</td>
<td>6/20 (30%)</td>
<td>11/20 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISCC, LN(+)^a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/20 (10%)</td>
<td>6/20 (30%)</td>
<td>12/20 (60%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a (P=0.54) by multiple comparison

Table 3. The expression of claudin-7 in normal cervical squamous epithelium, invasive squamous cell carcinoma (ISCC) without lymph node (LN) metastasis, and with LN metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histologic grade</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1+</th>
<th>2+</th>
<th>3+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>10/10 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISCC, LN(-)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/20 (10%)</td>
<td>5/20 (25%)</td>
<td>13/20 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISCC, LN(+)^a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/20 (5%)</td>
<td>4/20 (20%)</td>
<td>15/20 (75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a (P=0.53) by multiple comparison
Fig. 1. Immunohistochemical staining of claudin-1 and claudin-7 in cervical tissues. (A-C) claudin-1. (A) Normal cervical squamous epithelium showing no immunostaining. (B) Normal endocervical mucosa showing positive staining. (C) Invasive squamous cell carcinoma (ISCC) showing intense immunostaining. (D-F) claudin-7. (D) Normal cervical squamous epithelium showing no immunostaining. (E) Normal endocervical mucosa showing positive staining. (F) ISCC showing intense immunostaining. Immunohistochemical locations of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were mainly membranous and patterns of immunostaining were almost identical in both (Original magnification ×400).
DISCUSSION

The aim of present study was to evaluate the expression patterns of claudin-1 and claudin-7 in cervical squamous cell carcinoma and to investigate whether differences in their expression of these exist during LN metastasis. The expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were undetectable in normal squamous cell epithelium of the exocervix. Both claudin-1 and claudin-7 were strongly expressed in all cases of squamous cell carcinomas and also there was a significant difference between normal control and all ISCC groups (P<0.001 and P<0.001, respectively). However the expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 were not significantly different between two tumor groups according to LN metastasis (P=0.54 and P=0.53, respectively), although there was an increasing tendency of expressions in ISCC with LN metastasis compared to ISCC without LN metastasis (Table 2 and Table 3, respectively).

Results of the present study regarding claudin-1 correspond with the recent study of cDNA and tissue microarrays in cervical cancer which revealed that the expression of claudin-1 was low in normal basal epithelial cells and elevated mildly in LSIL and increased significantly in HSIL and squamous cell carcinoma by in situ hybridization. Claudin-1 is expressed in human mammary epithelial cells, but is only expressed in low or undetectable levels in several established breast cancer cell lines. The expression profile of claudin-1 in non-malignant versus tumor-derived cells has made this gene interesting for its involvement in tumorigenesis, namely as a suppressor for mammary epithelial proliferation. The loss of their expression in breast cancer cell lines is believed to be not due to genetic mutations in the human claudin-1 gene, both in sporadic and familial breast cancer compared to normal controls. It appears that other regulatory or epigenetic factors may be involved in the down-regulation of the claudin-1 gene during breast cancer development.

Kominsky et al. reported that the expression of claudin-7 was lost in both preneoplastic and invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast, occurring predominantly in high-grade lesions. Claudin-7 expression was also frequently lost in lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS), correlating with the increased cellular discohesion observed in LCIS. Additionally, the majority of invasive ductal carcinoma cases displaying a low claudin-7 expression had a positive lymph node metastasis. Taken together, these findings suggest that the loss of claudin-7 may aids in tumor cell dissemination and augments metastatic potential. Also in cDNA microarray study for head and neck cancer, claudin-7 was down-regulated in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma compared to normal cells. However in our study, claudin-7 was highly expressed in squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix in comparison to the normal control. Why the expressions of claudin-1 and claudin-7 are increased in cervical cancer and what is the cause of these different results in comparison to breast, head and neck cancer? It is not entirely clear why claudin-1 and claudin-7 are up-regulated in cervical cancer but the answer to the above question may lie in the tissue-specific action of claudins in different tissues.

Evaluating the roles of claudin-1 and claudin-7 in cervical and other cancers may provide new opportunity for cancer therapy. In fact, several reports suggest that the targeting prostate and pancreatic cancer cells expressing claudin-3 and claudin-4 with Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin, a bacterial toxin that specifically binds these transmembrane proteins, may provide novel strategies against tumors resistant to conventional therapy.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that the expressions of the TJ protein claudin-1 and claudin-7 were increased in ISCC in comparison to the normal control with statistical significance and showed increasing tendency in ISCC with LN metastasis than ISCC without LN metastasis. Especially, the evaluation for claudin-7 in cervical cancer has been a novel study until now. We suggest that claudin-1 and claudin-7 may be involved in carcinogenesis of uterine cervical cancer and they may be used as biomarkers for detection of cervical carcinoma.
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국문초록

목적: Claudins은 다양한 암종에서 상황 조절되고 알려져 있으며 이들 중에서 생물학적 지표 (biomarker)와 치료의 표적이 될 수 있을 것으로 생각되고 있다. 본 연구의 목적인 자궁경부 편평세포암에서 claudin-1과 claudin-7의 발현 양상을 규명하고 또한 이들 단백이 염증재생에 관여하는지를 규명하고자 한다.

연구 방법: 종양조직은 염증재생의 유무에 따라 자궁경부 편평세포암 조직에서 20명씩의 환자로부터 파라핀 고정조직을 얻었고, 정상 자궁경부 조직은 양성 자궁 질환을 가진 10명의 환자로부터 체취되었다. Claudin-1과 claudin-7에 대한 항체를 이용하여 이들 조직에서 면역조직화학적 분석 (immunohistochemical analysis)을 시행하였다.

결과: 정상 외자궁경부 (exocervix) 점막에서는 claudin-1과 claudin-7의 발현을 관찰할 수 없었으나, 내자궁경부 (endocervix) 점막에서는 다양한 염색 양상을 보였다. 그러나 모든 자궁경부암 조직에서 claudin-1과 claudin-7의 발현은 주로 세포막에서 보였으며, 정상 자궁경부 조직의 비해 유의하게 증가된 양상을 보였다 (P<0.001 and P<0.001, respectively). 자궁경부암 조직에서 리프질 전이 여부에 따른 claudin-1과 claudin-7의 발현은 통계적으로 유의한 차이를 보이지 않았으나 염증재생이 있는 군에서 발현이 증가되는 양상을 보였다 (P=0.54 and P=0.53, respectively).

결론: 본 연구의 결과에서 claudin-1과 claudin-7은 자궁경부 편평세포암의 발암과정에 관여할 것으로 생각되고 또한 이 질환에 특정적인 표식자가 될 수 있음을 보여 주었다. 향후 tight junction 및 claudin 유전자에 대한 연구는 자궁경부암의 조기진단 및 치료에 많은 기여할 것으로 생각된다.

중심단어: 자궁경부암, Tight junction, Claudin-1, Claudin-7, 전이